

## New Fossil Locality in the Middle Miocene of Lava from the Chinji Formation of the Lower Siwaliks, Pakistan

Muhammad Akbar Khan<sup>1\*</sup>, Muhammad Akhtar<sup>2</sup>, Abdul Majid Khan<sup>2</sup>, Abdul Ghaffar<sup>3</sup>, Mehboob Iqbal<sup>4</sup> and Khizar Samiullah<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Zoology Department, Government College University, Faisalabad

<sup>2</sup>Palaeontology laboratory, Zoology Department, Punjab University, Quid-e-Azam Campus, Lahore

<sup>3</sup>Department of Meteorology, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Islamabad

<sup>4</sup>Zoology Department, Science College, Wahdat Road, Lahore

**Abstract.** – The middle Miocene locality in the fluvial deposits was discovered in the south-eastern part of Lava village (Chakwal, northern Pakistan). The mammalian assemblage originating from this locality is described. The faunal association consists of rhinocerotids *Gaindatherium browni* and *Brachypotherium fatehjangense*, a suid *Listriodon pentapotamiae*, a caprine *Kubanotragus* sp., a bovid *Helicopotax* cf. *tragelaphoides*, a tragulid *Dorcatherium*, a giraffid *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis* and a proboscidean *Deinotherium* cf. *pentapotamiae*. The assemblage indicates the locality is middle Miocene in age (ca. 14–11 Ma) and it displays regional characteristics of the Chinji Formation of the Lower Siwaliks, Pakistan.

**Key Words:** Lava, Middle Miocene, Chinji Formation, Lower Siwaliks, vertebrates.

### INTRODUCTION

A fossil locality has been found near Lava village which is 14 km east of Rawalpindi - Mianwali highway. The fossil site is 11 km south east of the village Lava, district Chakwal (Punjab, Pakistan) and east of Dera Rehmatay Aali along the road opposite to Kas Badri from which we obtained fossils in a very dense concentration over a small area (Fig. 1). The fossils are fragmentary mostly postcranial than the cranial ones. The weathering cracks, abrasion marks and bite marks are frequently noted on the specimens. The site is highly fossiliferous and seems to be exposed since long time. Owing to the long exposure fragmentary bones and enamels are present all over the site. Nearly every sandstone has some embedded vertebrate remains. The locality is characterized by sandstone and reddish shale which is characteristic of Chinji Formation of the Siwaliks (Fig. 1). The Formation consists primarily of grey, sandy to muddy fluvial deposits (Barry *et al.*, 2002).

The section consists of shales, siltstones and sandstones. The fluvial sediments display large

lateral and vertical variations in their degree of cementation. A few cheek teeth fragments, some undeterminable tooth splinters and some fragmentary postcranial bones are found in the section. This paper presents the study of some new vertebrate fossil material from the discovered locality of the village Lava collected in 2007 – 2009 by the ‘team’ formed by the Zoology Department of Punjab University, Lahore and the Zoology Department of GC University, Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan.

The specimens were catalogued and given a number comprising year of collection and a serial catalogue number (*e.g.* 07/104). Various measurements of the studied specimens in millimeters were taken with the help of metric vernier caliper. Tooth length and width were measured at occlusal level. Heights were measured on the mesostyle of the upper molar, the metastylid of the lower molar and the protoconid of the lower premolar. The upper case letter denotes upper dentition and the lower case letter denotes lower dentition. Measurements given for teeth are occlusal length and occlusal width. All the described fossils are housed in the Palaeontological Collection of the Punjab University, Lahore (PUPC) and the Palaeontological Collection of GC University, Faisalabad (PC-GCUF).

\* Corresponding author: akbaar111@yahoo.ca

0030-9923/2011/0001-0061 \$ 8.00/0

Copyright 2011 Zoological Society of Pakistan.

### Abbreviations

PUPC, Punjab University Paleontological Collection; PC-GCUF, Palaeontological Collection of GC University, Faisalabad; C, canine; d, deciduous; H, height; I, incisor; L, largest length; l, left; M, molar; Ma, million years; MN, Mein Zones; P, premolar; r, right; W, width.




Fig. 1. The geographical position of the Lava locality. The profile view of the sediments where the fossils described here were found. The shaded ovate area shows the dense form of fossils.

### SYSTEMATIC ACCOUNT

Order Perissodactyla Owen, 1848  
 Family Rhinocerotidae Gray, 1825  
 Subfamily Rhinocerotinae Gray, 1821  
 Tribe Rhinocerotini Gray, 1821  
 Subtribe Rhinocerotina Owen, 1845  
 Genus *GAINDATHERIUM* Colbert, 1934

### *Gaindatherium browni* Colbert, 1934

#### Referred material

PUPC 07/101 – rM1; PUPC 07/102 – rM2.

#### Description

PUPC 02/101 is a well preserved first molar and slightly worn (Fig. 4A). PUPC 02/102 is complete, newly erupted and excellently preserved second molar (Fig. 4B). The median valley is filled with matrix in the second molar. The enamel is rugose and the traces of the cement are present all around the molars. The anterior cingulum is well developed and serrated. The posterior cingulum is limited around the postfossette. There is no cingulum at the lingual and buccal face of the tooth. The postfossette is deep and funnel shaped. The median valley is wide open and the protocone and the hypocone are far apart from each other. There is no trace of antecrochet or crista. However a delicate crochet extends into the median valley from the apex of the metaloph. A weakly developed mesostyle is present. The parastyle and the paracone fold are well developed and prominent. The metastyle is also well developed and the metacone rib is also weakly developed. The protoloph and the metaloph are oriented obliquely to the ectoloph. The concave ectoloph is present behind the paracone fold. A convexity corresponding to the mesostyle is also present. The comparative measurements of all the specimens are provided in Table I.

Table I.- Comparative measurements (cm) of the cheek teeth of *G. browni*.

Specimen		<i>G.</i>	<i>G.</i>	<i>G.</i>	<i>G.vidali</i>
		<i>browni</i> present collection	<i>browni</i> Heissig (1972)	<i>browni</i> Colbert (1934)	Heissig (1972)
PUPC	L	44.5	43.0	40.0	34.0
07/101 –	W	51.0	53.0	51.0	44.0
rM1	H	45.0	46.0	X	41.0
PUPC	L	45.4	46.0	42.0	-
07/102 –	W	50.5	52.0	52.0	-
rM2	H	42.0	42.0	-	-

#### Discussion

Colbert (1934) described genus *Gaindatherium* with *G. browni* from the Chinji

Formation of the Lower Siwaliks. Heissig (1972) worked on the Siwalik rhinoceros and described two successive species of *Gaindatherium* *G. browni* and *G. vidali* from the Chinji Formation and from the Nagri Formation of the Siwaliks, respectively. The upper molars show the features of the diagnosis; a simple crochet which is strongly angled against the metaloph, the paracone is narrow and backward, not clearly demarcated, and the parastyle and parastyle folds are strongly developed. Features of the molars, like presence of a stronger anterior cingulum and a protocone fold, presence of a strong metacone rib, are the characteristics of the *G. browni*. The inner cingulum in *G. vidali* is weaker than *G. browni*. The paracone and the metacone are also weakly demarcated. The dimensions of the present material are closer to *G. browni* and it differs from *G. vidali* in crown morphology and size (Table I, Fig. 2).




Fig. 2. Size variation of *G. browni* and *G. vidali*.

Subtribe Teleoceratina Hay, 1902  
Genus *BRACHYPOTHERIUM* Roger, 1904

*Brachypotherium fatehjangense* Pilgrim, 1910

#### Referred material

PUPC 07/104 – ld2, PUPC 07/105 – rP2,  
PUPC 07/109 – rP2, PUPC 07/106 – lP3.

#### Description

PUPC 07/104 is broken on the posterior side and fairly identical to the second premolar. It displays a reduced paraconid and open posterior valley. The anterior cingulum is low and asymmetrical. Its height is important on the posterior side and decreases anteriorly (Fig. 4C).

PUPC 07/105 and PUPC 07/109 are partially broken right upper second premolars (Fig. 4D,E).

The premolars are characterized by the open median valley, antecrochet is moderately present, ectoloph is broken and the metaloph is complete. The premolars are in late wear. The cingulum and crista are absent. The crochet is prominently present. The protocone is slightly extended. The posterior fossette is also present.

PUPC 07/106 is in middle wear with broken ectoloph (Fig. 4F). The protocone is slightly extended as compared to the hypocone. The metaloph is incomplete and the protoloph has broken away. The protocone is a strong lingual-anterior pillar, well separated from the hypocone pillar due to the presence of a medisinus. The crista and the crochet are absent. The parastyle has broken off.

#### Discussion

A reduced paraconid in the second lower premolar is an autapomorphy of *Brachypotherium* (Antoine, 2002). The morphological features are consistent with *B. fatehjangense*, known from the early Miocene to the late Miocene in South Asia (Heissig, 1972; Antoine and Welcomme, 2000; Welcomme *et al.*, 2001). An open posterior valley, low and asymmetrical anterior cingulum, the posterior cingulum extends until the posterior groove of the ectolophid are the characteristics correspond to *B. fatehjangense*. All these characters are observed in the studied material, which clearly identify it to *B. fatehjangense*. Morpho-metrically, the specimen resembles with specimens originating from the middle Miocene Chinji Formation of the Lower Siwaliks of Pakistan and Myanmar (Table II).

Order Artiodactyla Owen, 1848  
Family Suidae Gray, 1821  
Subfamily Listriodontinae Simpson, 1945  
Genus *LISTRIODON* von Meyer, 1846

*Listriodon pentapotamiae* Falconer, 1868

#### Referred material

PC-GCUF 09/28 – li2; PC-GCUF 09/27 – c;  
PUPC 07/111 – rp4.

#### Description

PC-GCUF 09/28 has a stepped cutting edge like spatula (Fig. 4G). The occlusal edge of the

**Table II.- Comparative measurements (cm) of *B. fatehjangense*. \* studied specimens.**

Taxon	Specimen	level	Age	Length	Width
<i>B. fatehjangense</i> *	PUPC 07/104 – ld2	Chinji Formation	Middle Miocene	28.1	19.4
<i>B. fatehjangense</i> *	PUPC 07/105 – rP2	Chinji Formation	Middle Miocene	27	29
<i>B. fatehjangense</i> *	PUPC 07/109 – rP2	Chinji Formation	Middle Miocene	26	24
<i>B. fatehjangense</i> *	PUPC 07/106 – IP3	Chinji Formation	Lower Miocene	26	28
<i>B. fatehjangense</i> (Heissig, 1972)	rp2	Chinji Formation	Middle Miocene	27.0	16.0
<i>B. fatehjangense</i> (Chavasseau <i>et al.</i> , 2006)	rp2	Chaungtha, Myanmar	Middle Miocene	32.3	19.6

tooth is almost straight. The distal edge of the tooth forms a second cutting edge at right angle to the first one. The incisor is mesio-distally compressed posteriorly. There is a prominent centrally placed lingual pillar. The distal edge protrudes slightly forming a shallow scoop like basin between the central pillar and distal edge of the crown.

PC-GCUF 09/27 is a lower canine with a long permanently growing single root (Fig. 4H). The canine emerges almost horizontally and sweeps outwards and eventually backwards with scrofic cross section. The canine root is long and circular. The enamel is present on the lingual and labial surfaces but it does not extend onto the root. There is a prominent wear facet anteriorly caused by abrasion against the upper canine.

PUPC 07/111 is four cusped lophodont tooth (Fig. 4I). The tooth is molarized with well developed anterior and posterior cingula. The premolar has prominent innenhugel which is closely fused to the main cusp and rectangular occlusal outline. Anteriorly the anterior crested of the main cusped is swollen and descends rapidly towards a well developed but low cingulum. Distally there is a prominent talonid joined linguallly and labially by a swollen cingulum. The distal accessory cusplet is two thirds height of the crown. The distal cingulum fades into the labial and lingual surfaces of the crown.

#### Discussion

The lower incisor shows the typical morphology of listrodonts with stepped edge. The long permanently growing root is present in a male lower canine of *L. pentapotamiae* (Pickford, 1988).

The listriodonts have a lophodont p4 and well developed anterior and posterior cingula (Pickford and Morales, 2003). The dimensions (Table III; Fig. 3) and the size of the currently documented teeth are close to the teeth of *L. pentapotamiae* as noted by Pickford (1988). The closely resembling material recovered from the locality is assigned here to *L. pentapotamiae*.

**Table III.- Comparative measurements (cm) of *L. pentapotamiae*. Referred material is taken from Pickford (1988). \* studied specimens.**

Lower 2 <sup>nd</sup> incisor	Length	Width	Lower 4 <sup>th</sup> premolar	Length	Width
PC-GCUF 09/28 – i2*	11.3	9.0	*PUPC 07/111 – p4	15	11
GSP 4527 – i2	12.9	9.4	K13/808 – p4	15.3	12.3
K15/537 – i2	11.4	9.0	K13/436 – p4	17.4	11.3
K19/57 – i2	10	11	K23/721 – p4	16.1	12.5
K15/587 – i2	15.4	7	K13/847 – p4	18.4	13
K41/895 – i2	13.5	10.5	K14/492 – p4	16.5	11.8
K15/537 – i2	12.3	9.7	K16/425 – p4	18.9	14
K13/795 – i2	11.7	9.3	Canine		
K10/407 – i2	10	6.7	*PC-GCUF 09/27 – c	16	13
GSP 4461 – i2	11.9	9			
GSP 4462 – i2	12	11.5			
GSP 4115 – i2	11.5	9.5			
GSP 4416 – i2	12	10.5			




Fig. 3. Size variation of the lower dentition “2<sup>nd</sup> incisor and 4<sup>th</sup>” premolar of *L. pentapotamiae*.

Suborder Ruminantia Scopoli, 1777  
Family Tragulidae Milne-Edwards, 1864  
Genus *DORCATHERIUM* Kaup, 1833  
*Dorcatherium* sp.

#### Referred material

PUPC 07/112 – p4.

#### Description

The premolar is triangular in lateral view and increase in length from front to back. The tooth is somewhat elongated (Fig. 6A). The premolar has a strong protoconid linked by a straight longitudinal crest to a small, mesio-lingually centrally located paraconid. The protoconid crest is slightly curved lingually, and joins the more lingually situated paraconid. The hypoconid is situated at the rear of the tooth. The hypoconid is weaker and lower than the protoconid. The posterior side of the hypoconid forming a well marked transverse spur delimits a small, lingually open rounded basin. A very tiny transverse entostylid present at the posterior edge of the crown.

#### Discussion

The morphology and the orientation of the occlusal outline of the fourth premolar are close to *Dorcatherium* and differ from those of bovids (Farooq *et al.*, 2008). The size index of the premolar is close to *Dorcatherium majus* (Table IV). Unfortunately, a mandibular ramus with molars missed on the way from Lava locality to village Lava. The lower molars had well developed *Dorcatherium* folds. The molars were rectangular in shape and bunosenodont. Enamel slightly wrinkled. The morphology of the teeth suggests that

they belonged to the *Dorcatherium*. The lack of material does not allow us to assign species for the remains.

**Table IV.- Comparative dental measurements (mm) of fourth lower premolars in *Dorcatherium*. Comparative material is taken from Farooq *et al.* (2008). \* studied specimen.**

p4	PUPC 86/2	PUPC 86/5	AMNH 19524	GS1 B593	* PUPC 07/112
L	13.3	13.1	14.5	17.3	16.0
W	6.0	5.7	5.0	6.2	6.3

Family Bovidae Gray, 1821  
Tribe Boselaphini Knottnerus-Meyer, 1907  
Genus *HELICOPORTAX* Pilgrim, 1937

*Helicoportax* cf. *tragelaphoides*

#### Referred material

PUPC 07/114 – M1; PUPC 09/55 – lp3-m1.

#### Description

The molar is quadrate. The median ribs are present and the posterior median rib is weaker than the anterior one. The entostyle like basal tubercle is present. The enamel is rugose and has prominent striae (Fig. 6B). The molar has pronounced neck at the base of the crown. The molar has divergent styles and strong buccal folds. The lower molars are narrow crowned and also hypsodont (Fig. 6C). They have pronounced neck at the base like upper dentition. These are in early wear. The p3 is long with separated parastylid-paraconid and entoconid-entostylid. The p4 is also long with an anteroposteriorly expanded metaconid and open lingual valleys. The tooth is pretty molariform. The lower molar has weak lingual ribs and anterior transverse flange. The median basal pillar is present.

#### Discussion

The specimens with pronounced neck, weak median ribs, divergent styles and open second lingual valley are represented by one species. The specimens are differentiated from the other bovids of Lava by the pronounced neck. The crown pronounced neck was present in *Selenoportax* and *Helicoportax* (Pilgrim, 1937, 1939). The *Selenoportax* was a large sized Siwalik boselaphine




Fig. 4. *Gaindatherium browni*: A, PUPC 07/101 – rM1; B, PUPC 07/102 – rM2 (a, lingual view; b, labial view). *Brachypotherium fatehjangense*: C, PUPC 07/104 – ld2; D, PUPC 07/105 – rP2; E, PUPC 07/109 – rP2; F, PUPC 07/106 – IP3 (in occlusal views). *Listriodon pentapotamiae*: G, PC-GCUF 09/28 – li2 (a, lingual view; b, labial view); H, PC-GCUF 09/27 – c (a, outer; b, inner view); I, PUPC 07/111 – rp4 (a, occlusal view; b, labial view; c, lingual view). Scale bar 10 mm.

of the upper Miocene (Khan *et al.*, 2007; Khan, 2008). The Lava specimens is smaller than the *Selenoportax* and correspond very well with its dental morphology and size to *Helicoportax*. The preserved specimens were not well enough to allow

identifying of a species exactly. Nevertheless, the metrical values of the specimens are close to *H. tragelaphoides* and *Helicoportax* cf. *tragelaphoides* is assigned for the specimens (Table V, Fig. 5).

**Table V.- Comparative dental measurements (mm) of *Helicopotax*. Comparative material is taken from Pilgrim (1937, 1939). \* studied specimens.**

Taxon	Specimen	Length	Width
<i>H. sp</i>	*PUPC 07/114 – M1	17	17
<i>H. cf. tragelaphoides</i>	GSI 822-M1	15	15.5
<i>H. tragelaphoides</i>	AMNH 29909 – M1	17	17
<i>H. tragelaphoides</i>	AMNH 29945 – M1	15	15
<i>H. praecox</i>	AMNH 19476 – M1	12.5	15.5
<i>H. praecox</i>	AMNH 19998 – M1	13	13
<i>H. praecox</i>	AMNH 29867 – M1	13	13
<i>H. sp</i>	*PUPC 09/55 – p3	15	7
<i>H. sp</i>	*PUPC 09/55 – p4	13	8
<i>H. sp</i>	*PUPC 09/55 – m1	14	10
<i>H. praecox</i>	AMNH 29858 – p3	9.5	6.5
<i>H. praecox</i>	AMNH 19995 – m1	13.5	8.5
<i>H. cf. tragelaphoides</i>	GSI 821-m1	13	9
<i>H. cf. tragelaphoides</i>	GSI 821-p3	13	11




Fig. 5. Size variation in the Siwalik *Helicopotax* (*H. tragelaphoides* and *H. praecox*).

Subfamily Caprinae Gray, 1821  
Genus *KUBANOTRAGUS* GABUNIA, 1973

*Kubanotragus* sp.

#### Referred material

PUPC 07/113 – a fragment of horn core.

#### Description

The horn core is broken at the base as well as at the apex. Overall, the size of the horn core is not much large (Fig. 6D). The horn core fragment has irregular longitudinal striae. Its cross section is circular and it is slightly twisted. The horn core fragment shows a faint curvature. The light torsion is present in the horn core fragment.

#### Discussion

The horn core is distinguished from other medium size bovids e.g. *Gazella*, *Sivaceros*,

*Helicopotax*, *Eotragus* and *Elachistoceras* by having very deep longitudinal groove (Thomas, 1984). It is differentiated from *Caprotragoides potwaricus* by having less mediolateral compression, gently curved and not stout (Bibi and Gulec, 2008). The horn core morphology and size are pretty fit within the range of *Kubanotragus*, identified by Thomas (1984) from the Chinji Formation.

Family Giraffidae Gray, 1821

Subfamily Giraffinae Gray, 1821

Tribe Palaeotragini Pilgrim, 1911

Genus *GIRAFFOKERYX* Pilgrim, 1910

*Giraffokeryx punjabiensis* Pilgrim, 1910

#### Referred material

PUPC 07/115 – rp3; PUPC 07/116 – rp4; PUPC 07/117 – rM1; PUPC 09/59 – lm1; PUPC 07/118 – lm3; PUPC 09/56 – lm3; PUPC 09/57 – lm3.

#### Description

The material consists of isolated teeth. The p3 has the paraconid well separated from the parastylid (Fig. 6E). The premolar is in early wear, brachyodont, rugose and molarized. The metaconid extend forwards meeting the base of the paraconid. The crest joins to the metaconid and incorporates the entoconid. The entoconid is independent from the metaconid in early wear. A well developed furrow separates the hypoconid from the strong protoconid on the buccal side. The p4 is highly molariform (Fig. 6F). The metaconid is expanded lingually. The parastylid is thinner than in p3. The anterior lobe is large and closed lingually. The protoconid is connected to the hypoconid and tends to fuse with the entoconid lingually. The trigonid is distinguished by a furrow. The first molar is partially broken, simple with finely rippled enamel. The ectostylid and anterior fold are very weak but a well developed metastylid is present. The upper molar has well developed styles and ribs but it has a weakly develop entostyle. The protocone is angular and slightly constricted lingually (Fig. 6G). A cingulum is weakly developed. The hypoconulid of the third molar is elliptical forming a complete loop (Fig. 6H).




Fig. 6. *Dorcatherium* sp: A, PUPC 07/112 – p4 (occlusal view). *Helicoportax*: B, PUPC 07/114 – M1 (a, crown view; b, lingual view; c, labial view); C, PUPC 09/55 – lp3-m1 (a, labial view; b, occlusal view). *Kubanotragus*: D, PUPC 07/113 – a fragment of horn core. *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis*. E, PUPC 07/115 – rp3; F, PUPC 07/116 – rp4; G, PUPC 07/117 – rM1; H, PUPC 09/59 – lm1 (a, crown view; b, lingual view; c, labial view). *Deinotherium*: I, PC-GCUF 09/29 – m1 (in occlusal view). Scale bar 10 mm.

### Discussion

The large sized brachydont teeth with sculpture enamel make their inclusion to giraffids (Colbert, 1935). The Siwalik giraffids are divided

into two groups, one comprises the large forms of the upper Miocene and the other one comprises the small forms of the middle Miocene (Akhtar and Sarwar, 1987). The small sized Siwalik forms are



*Giraffokeryx* and *Giraffa* (Bhatti, 2005). The teeth display the character of *Giraffokeryx* found in the Middle Miocene of the Siwaliks (Colbert, 1935; Geraads and Aslan, 2003; Geraads *et al.*, 1995). The transverse orientation of the crests is observed in the specimens which is only found in the Siwalik *G. punjabiensis* and the specimens are assigned to *G. punjabiensis*. The species is more primitive than the Eurasian middle Miocene giraffids, having all crests of transverse orientation (Geraads and Aslan, 2003). Dimensions of the teeth are very similar to those of the Siwalik middle Miocene giraffids (Colbert, 1935; Bhatti *et al.*, 2007). However, the variation in size may have some biostratigraphic value (Table VI, Fig. 7).

**Table VI.- Comparative dental measurements (mm) of *G. punjabiensis*. \* studied specimens.**

Taxon	Specimen	Length	Width
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> *	PUPC 07/115 – p3	25	14
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> *	PUPC 07/116 – p4	23.6	14
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> *	PUPC 07/117 – M1	28	28
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> *	PUPC 07/118 – m3	35.5	15
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> *	PUPC 09/56 – m3	26	cf. 13
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> *	PUPC 09/57 – m3	36	17
<i>G. cf. punjabiensis</i> (Geraads <i>et al.</i> , 1995)	m3	34	17
<i>G. cf. punjabiensis</i> (Geraads <i>et al.</i> , 1995)	p4	22.5	15.3
<i>G. cf. punjabiensis</i> (Geraads <i>et al.</i> , 1995)	p4	21	13
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> (Bhatti, 2005)	PUPC 02/12 – m3	34	18
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> (Bhatti, 2005)	PUPC 02/19 – m3	30	19
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> (Bhatti, 2005)	PUPC 02/06 – p4	23	14
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> (Colbert, 1935)	AMNH 19317 – m3	37	18
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> (Colbert, 1935)	AMNH 19849 – m3	35	15
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> (Colbert, 1935)	AMNH 19587 – p4	24	15
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> (Colbert, 1935)	AMNH 19475 – M1	22	24
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> (Colbert, 1935)	AMNH 19587 – p3	20.5	12
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> (Colbert, 1935)	AMNH 19587 – m3	37	17
<i>G. punjabiensis</i> (Colbert, 1935)	AMNH 19472 – M1	23	22




Fig. 7. Scatter diagram “Length m3 against Width m3” of *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis*, in comparison with other middle Miocene specimens of *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis*.

Order Proboscidea Illiger, 1811  
Family Deinotheriidae Bonaparte, 1845  
Genus *DEINOTHERIUM* Kaup, 1829

*Deinotherium cf. pentapotamiae*

*Referred material*

PC-GCUF 09/29 – m1 (length, 26; width I ridge plate, 17; width II ridge plate 20).

*Description*

The tooth is complete, isolated, unworn, brachyodont and excellently preserved. The tooth is bilophodont with the contact facets (Fig. 6I). The crown of the tooth is elongated antero-posteriorly. The tubercles are present anterior to the first ridge plate. The posterior ridge plate is larger transversely than the anterior one. The ridge plates are concave anteriorly and convex posteriorly. The transverse valley between the first and the second ridge plate does not have any cingular tubercle. The cingulum surrounds the tooth anteriorly, buccally and posteriorly but it is prominent posteriorly where it forms a talon ridge enclosing a shallow valley.

*Discussion*

The tooth is bilophodont, typically the family Deinotheriidae. The true molar in *Deinotherium*, is always bilophodont (Sarwar, 1977). Three species of *Deintherium* *D. pentapotamiae*, *D. orlovii* and *D. indicum* are recognized in the Siwaliks (Sarwar, 1977). *D. orlovii* and *D. indicum* are comparatively larger than *D. pentapotamiae*. The studied molar is

small in size and can be assigned *D. pentapotamiae*. Nevertheless, differences in the dentition are present but are generally of minor nature, which renders specific identification. Therefore, the specific identification upon isolated teeth is a matter of some uncertainty.

## BIOSTRATIGRAPHY AND CONCLUSIONS

Eight mammalian taxa have been recognized from the locality exposed in the south-eastern of village Lava, Jhelum, northern Pakistan. The fauna mainly consists of ruminants and rhinos, but the other groups are rare. The faunal list may be compared with the other middle Miocene Siwalik localities.

*Brachyopotherium fatehjangense* is a common species of the Siwalik middle Miocene (Heissig, 1972; Chavasseau *et al.*, 2006). *Gaindatherium browni* was defined in the Chinji Formation and its stratigraphic range is late middle Miocene to early upper Miocene (Heissig, 1972; Colbert, 1935). The dates of occurrence for the deinotherium taxa suggest the Kamli and the Chinji formations (ca. 18 – 14 Ma) in the Siwaliks (Tassy, 1983; Sarwar, 1977). *Deinotherium pentapotamiae* was found in the middle Miocene of the Lower Siwaliks (Dhem, 1963; Sarwar, 1977). In the Chinji strata *Listriodon pentapotamiae* is the most common suid (Pickford, 1988). Several Lower Siwalik localities of the Chinji Formation exhibit the presence of *Listriodon pentapotamiae* and the species is widely distributed in the Middle Miocene (Pickford, 1988; Pickford and Morales, 2003). Highly lophodonts *Listriodon pentapotamiae* is abundant in the Chinji succession and deposits of the similar age elsewhere in the Potwar Plateau (Pickford and Morales, 2003).

The Palaeotraginae is documented sporadically in the Chinji Formation of the Lower Siwaliks (Colbert, 1934; Bhatti *et al.*, 2007). *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis* has already been mentioned several localities of the late Middle Miocene age (Bhatti, 2005), occupying a wide territory from Western Europe to India (Bohlin, 1926; Bosscha-Erdbrink, 1977; Gentry *et al.*, 1999; NOW database 2003). The *Deinotherium*

*pentapotamiae* and *Listriodon pentapotamiae* are characteristic markers of the Chinji Formation (14 – 11.2 Ma). *Helicopotax* is also represented of the upper Chinji Formation (Pilgrim, 1937, 1939).

The long lasting and wide spread *Listriodon pentapotamiae* in the Lava locality together with *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis*, *Gaindatherium browni*, *Brachyopotherium fatehjangense*, *Deinotherium pentapotamiae*, and *Dorcatherium* sp. indicates a middle Miocene age of the Lava locality. The fauna is in favor of a late Middle Miocene age because the comparison of the material with several representatives of the fauna indicates a middle Miocene age (Pilgrim, 1939, 1937; Thomas, 1984; Heissig, 1972; Pickford, 1988). The dental characters of the Lava material indicate closer affinities to the middle Miocene forms of the species, suggesting a similar age for the locality. In combination with the knowledge of the *Dorcatherium*, *Gaindatherium browni* and *Brachyopotherium fatehjangense* temporal distribution in the Siwaliks, it is likely that the site is middle Miocene in age. The taxa of the site indicate a time range that overlaps with the species in the Chinji Formation correspond to MN6 and MN7/8 (Heissig, 1972; Colbert, 1935; Farooq, 2006; Pickford and Morales, 2003). A similar vertebrate community is present in the Chinji succession of the Lower Siwaliks (Heissig, 1972; Pickford, 1988; Pilgrim, 1937, 1939; Colbert, 1935; Sarwar, 1977).

Ecological implications are less ambiguous. Lithofacies suggest a fluvial depositional environment. *Brachyopotherium* has often been considered a marsh and lake dweller (Geraads and Sarac, 2003). *Deinotherium* indicates a forested habitat (Kay and Heissig, 2001). *Listriodon* seems to be a browser by judging from its dentition (Pickford, 1988). The selenodonty of the ruminants (*Helicopotax*, *Giraffokeryx*, *Dorcatherium*) in the locality may be interpreted for fibrous food which may have been the swamp vegetation due to the depositional environment (Janis *et al.*, 2002). *Dorcatherium* is rather indicative of swampy-paludal habitats (Köhler, 1993; Rössner and Mörs, 2001). This association points a wooded lake margin, surrounded by a more steppe or savannah like landscape.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Mr. Imran (Lecturer of Chemistry in Punjab University) and Malik Sahib (Landlord of the Lava village) for their hospitality during our stay in the Lava village. We would also like to thank Adeeb (M. Sc. student, GC University, Faisalabad) for working on the photographs and Razzaq for his efficient help extended during the fieldwork.

## REFERENCES

- AKHTAR, M. AND SARWAR, M., 1987. A Giant Tetraodont species from the Middle Siwaliks of Dudial, Azad Kashmir, Pakistan. *Kashmir J. Geol.*, **5**: 101-104.
- ANTOINE, P. O., 2002. Phylogé'nie et e'volution des Elasmotheriina (Mammalia, Rhinocerotidae). *Mem. Mus. natl. Hist. Nat.*, **188**: 1-359.
- ANTOINE, P. O. AND WELCOMME, J. L., 2000. A new rhinoceros from the lower Miocene of the Bugti Hills, Baluchistan, Pakistan: the earliest Elasmotheriine. *Palaeontology*, **43**: 795-816.
- BARRY, J., MORGAN, M., FLYNN, L., PILBEAM, D., BEHRENSMEYER, A. K., RAZA, S., KHAN, I., BADGELY, C., HICKS, J. AND KELLEY, J., 2002. Faunal and Environmental change in the Late Miocene Siwaliks of Northern Pakistan. *Paleobiology*, **28**: 1-72.
- BHATTI, Z. H., 2005. *Taxonomy, evolutionary history and biogeography of the Siwalik giraffids*. Ph.D. thesis (unpublished), Punjab University, Lahore, Pakistan, pp. 1-268.
- BHATTI, Z. H., QURESHI, M. A., KHAN, M. A., AKHTAR, M., GHAFFAR, A. AND EJAZ, M., 2007. Individual variations in some premolars of species *Giraffokeryx punjabiensis* (Mammalia, Giraffidae) from Lower Siwalik (Chinji Formation) of Pakistan. Contribution to Geology of Pakistan. *Proc. Pakistan Geol. Congr.*, **5**: 261-272.
- BIBI, F. AND GULUC, E. S., 2008. Bovidae (Mammalia: Artiodactyla) from the Late Miocene of Sivas, Turkey. *J. Verteb. Palaeontol.*, **28**: 501-519.
- BOHLIN, B., 1926. Die Familie Giraffidae. *Palaeontol. Sin. ser. C4*: 1-178.
- BOSSCHA-ERDRINK, D. P., 1977. On the distribution in time and space of three giraffid genera with Turolian representatives at Maragheh in N.W. Iran. *Proc. Koninkl. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch.*, **B80**: 337-355.
- CHAVASSEAU, O., CHAIMANEE, Y., TUN, S. T., SOE, A.N., BARRY, J. C., MARANDAT, B., SUDRE, J., MARIVAUX, L., DUCROCQ, S. AND JAEGER, J. J., 2006. Chaungtha, a new Middle Miocene mammal locality from the Irrawaddy Formation, Myanmar. *J. Asian Earth Sci.*, **28**: 354-362.
- COLBERT, E. H., 1934. A New Rhinoceros from the Siwalik Beds of India. *Am. Mus. Novitat.*, **749**: 353-367.
- COLBERT, E. H., 1935. Siwalik Mammas in the the American Museum of Natural History. *Trans. Am. phil. Soc., N.S.*, **26**: 1-401.
- DHEM, R., 1963. Palaontologische und geologische Untersuchungen im Teriar von Pakistan. 3. Deinotherium in der Chinji-Stufe der Unteren Siwalik-Schichten. *Bayer. Akad. Wiss. Math. - Naturwiss. Klasse, N.F.*, **114**: 1- 34.
- FAROOQ, U., 2006. *Studies of evolutionary trends in dentition of the Siwalik tragulids*. Ph.D. thesis (unpublished), Punjab University Lahore, Pakistan, pp. 340.
- FAROOQ, U., KHAN, M. A., AKHTAR, M. AND KHAN, A. M., 2008. Lower dentition of *Dorcatherium majus* (Tragulidae, Mammalia) in the Lower and Middle Siwaliks (Miocene) of Pakistan. *Turkish J. Zool.*, **32**: 91-98.
- GENTRY, A. W., ROSSNER, G. E. AND HEIZMANN, E. P. S., 1999. Suborder Ruminantia. In: *The Miocene land mammals of Europe* (eds. R.G. Rossner and K. Heissig), Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, München, pp. 225-258.
- GERAADS, D. AND ASLAN, F., 2003. Giraffidae from the Middle Miocene Hominoid Locality of Candir (Turkey). *Cour. Forsch.-Inst. Senckenberg*, Frankfurt, **240**: 201-209.
- GERAADS, D. AND SARAC, G., 2003. Rhinocerotidae from the Middle Miocene Hominoid Locality of Candir (Turkey). *Cour. Forsch.-Inst. Senckenberg*, Frankfurt, **240**: 217-231.
- GERAADS, D., GULUC, E. AND SARAC, G., 1995. Middle Miocene Ruminants from Inonu, Central Turkey. *N. Jb. Geol. Palaont. Mh. Stuttgart*, **8**: 462-474.
- HEISSIG, K., 1972. Palaontologische und geologische Untersuchungen im Tertiär von Pakistan; 5: Rhinocerotidae aus den Unteren und Mittleren Siwalik-Schichten. *Abh. bayer. Akad. Wissen. Math. Naturw. Kl.*, **152**: 1-112.
- JANIS, C. M., DAMUTH, J. AND THEODOR, J. M., 2002. The origins and evolution of the North American grassland biome: the story from the hoofed mammals. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.*, **177**: 183-198.
- KAY, T. AND HEISSIG, K., 2001. Late Miocene Rhinocerotids (Mammalia) from Yulafli (Corlu-Thrace/Turkey). *Geobios*, **34**: 457-467.
- KHAN, M. A., 2008. Fossil bovids from the late Miocene of Padri, Jhelum, Pakistan. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, **40**: 25-29.
- KHAN, M. A., GHAFFAR, A., FAROOQ, U. AND AKHTAR, M., 2007. New Fossils remains of *Selenoportax vexillarius* from the Late Miocene of Hasnot. *Pakistan J. Zool.*, **39**: 333-338.

- KÖHLER, M., 1993. Skeleton and habitat of recent and fossil ruminants. *Munch. Geowiss. Abh. (A)* **25**: 1- 88.
- NOW DATABASE, 2003. *Neogene of the Old World. Database of fossil mammals*: www.helsinki.fi/science/now.
- PICKFORD, M. AND MORALES, J., 2003. New Listriodontinae (Mammalia, Suidae) from Europe and a review of listriodont evolution, biostratigraphy and biogeography. *Geodiversitas*, **25**: 347-404.
- PICKFORD, M., 1988. Revision of the Miocene Suidae of the Indian Subcontinent. *Münch. Geowissensch. Abhandl.*, **12**: 1-91.
- PILGRIM, G. E., 1937. Siwalik antelopes and oxen in the American Museum of Natural History. *Bull. Am. Mus. nat. Hist.*, **72**: 729-874.
- PILGRIM, G. E., 1939. The fossil Bovidae of India. *Pal. Ind., N.S.*, **26**: 1-356.
- RÖSSNER, G. E. AND MÖRS, T., 2001. A new find of the enigmatic Eurasian Miocene ruminant artiodactyls Orygotherium: its meaning for stratigraphic setting and palaeoecological interpretations. *J. Verteb. Paleontol.*, **21**: 591-595.
- SARWAR, M., 1977. Taxonomy and Distribution of the Siwalik Proboscidea. *Bull. Deptt. Zool. Univ. Punjab N.S.*, **10**: 1-172.
- TASSY, P., 1983. Les Elephantoidea Miocènes du Plateau du Potwar, Groupe de Siwalik, Pakistan. *Ann. Paléontol.*, **69**: 317-354.
- THOMAS, H., 1984. Les bovides ante-hipparions des Siwaliks inférieurs (plateau du Potwar), Pakistan. *Mem. Soc. Geol. France*, Paris, **145**: 1-68.
- WELCOMME, J. L., BENAMMI, M., CROCHET, J. Y., MARIVAUX, L., METAIS, G., ANTOINE, P. O. AND BALOCH, I., 2001. Himalayan Forelands: palaeontological evidence for Oligocene detrital deposits in the Bugti Hills (Balochistan, Pakistan). *Geol. Mag.*, **138**: 397-405.

(Received 24 June 2009, revised 24 June 2010)